Message

From: Berkoff, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=F347C01A92D7424FA840C24F6B7FBF6B-MBERKOFF]

Sent: 12/30/2014 3:42:16 PM

To: Arcaute, Francisco [Arcaute.Francisco@epa.gov]; Rowan, Anne [rowan.anne@epa.gov]; Russell, Diane

[russell.diane@epa.gov]

CC: Saric, James [saric.james@epa.gov]; Frey, Rebecca [frey.rebecca@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: Allied site - city proposed compromise - media inquiry

All.

I am back in the office. Can we respond to this reporter? I'd like to, so that we can support the city during this rollout.

- 1. We have taken part in the discussions with MDEQ and the city. They have been a true three party partnership.
- 2. We plan to add an additional option to the FS *after* we show a couple new configurations to citizens and get their input.
- 3. The dates for the Proposed Plan and the ROD will depend upon the timing of joint (EPA, MDEQ and city of Kalamazoo) community outreach over the coming months.

Michael

From: Lindsey Smith [mailto:lmsmi@umich.edu] Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 1:29 PM

To: Arcaute, Francisco

Cc: Rowan, Anne; Berkoff, Michael

Subject: Re: Allied site - city proposed compromise - media inquiry

Thanks! I'm supposed to write about it today. So even a written statement would help if there's no time for an interview. Basically would want to know - if yes, EPA is taking part in discussions about a different option for the Allied site. How would adding a new option into consideration work in the EPA's superfund process? I heard last the ROD on this OU would likely be out in Fall 2015. Is that correct? Would this proposal delay that process at all? Best~

On Mon, Dec 22, 2014 at 2:08 PM, Arcaute, Francisco < Arcaute, Francisco@epa.gov > wrote:

Lindsey. I'm out today. I'm looping in Anne Rowan to see how we can assist you. What's your deadline? Happy holidays. F

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 22, 2014, at 12:27 PM, "Lindsey Smith" < lmsmi@umich.edu> wrote:

Hi Francisco,

I'm writing about the city of Kalamazoo backing away from total removal for the Allied site. It put out a <u>press release that's it is proposing a compromise</u>. Is there any chance that the EPA would redo its feasibility study to include such an option? If you could, please let me know either way.

Thanks!

All the best.

--

Lindsey Smith West Michigan Reporter Michigan Radio Office (616) 551-0717 michiganradio.org

Lindsey Smith
West Michigan Reporter
Michigan Radio
Office (616) 551-0717
michiganradio.org